

**Linear Beam Dynamics  
and  
Ampere Class Superconducting RF Cavities  
@RHIC**

A Dissertation Presented

by

Rama R. Calaga

to

The Graduate School

in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Physics and Astronomy

Stony Brook University

March 2006

Copyright © by  
Rama R. Calaga  
2006

Stony Brook University

The Graduate School

Rama R. Calaga

We, the dissertation committee for the above candidate for the Doctor of Philosophy degree, hereby recommend acceptance of the dissertation.

---

Dr. Ilan Ben-Zvi (Advisor)  
Adjunct Professor of Physics and Astronomy  
Stony Brook University

---

Dr. Stephen Peggs (Co-Advisor)  
Adjunct Professor of Physics and Astronomy  
Stony Brook University

---

Dr. Thomas Hemmick (Chairperson)  
Professor of Physics and Astronomy  
Stony Brook University

---

Dr. George Sterman  
Distinguished Professor of Physics and Astronomy  
Stony Brook University

---

Dr. Thomas Roser  
Accelerator Division Head  
Brookhaven National Laboratory

This dissertation is accepted by the Graduate School.

---

Dean of the Graduate School

**Abstract of the Dissertation**

**Linear Beam Dynamics**  
**and**  
**Ampere Class Superconducting RF Cavities**  
**@RHIC**

by

Rama R. Calaga

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Physics and Astronomy

State University of New York at Stony Brook

2006

The Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) is a hadron collider designed to collide a range of ions from protons to gold. RHIC operations began in 2000 and has successfully completed five physics runs with several species including gold, deuteron, copper, and polarized protons. Linear optics and coupling are fundamental issues affecting the collider performance. Measurement and correction of optics and coupling are important to maximize the luminosity and sustain stable operation. A numerical approach, first developed at SLAC, was implemented to measure linear optics from coherent betatron oscillations generated by ac dipoles and recorded at multiple beam position monitors (BPMs) distributed around the collider. The approach is extended to a fully coupled 2D case and equivalence relationships between Hamiltonian and matrix formalisms are

derived. Detailed measurements of the transverse coupling terms are carried out at RHIC and correction strategies are applied to compensate coupling both locally and globally. A statistical approach to determine BPM reliability and performance over the past three runs and future improvements also discussed.

Aiming at a ten-fold increase in the average heavy-ion luminosity, electron cooling is the enabling technology for the next luminosity upgrade (RHIC II). Cooling gold ion beams at 100 GeV/nucleon requires an electron beam energy of approximately 54 MeV and a high average current in the range of 50-200 mA. All existing  $e^-$  coolers are based on low energy DC accelerators. The only viable option to generate high current, high energy, low emittance CW electron beam is through a superconducting energy-recovery linac (SC-ERL). In this option, an electron beam from a superconducting injector gun is accelerated using a high gradient ( $\sim 20$  MV/m) superconducting RF (SRF) cavity. The electrons are returned back to the cavity with a  $180^\circ$  phase shift to recover the energy back into the cavity before being dumped. A design and development of a half-cell electron gun and a five-cell SRF linac cavity are presented. Several RF and beam dynamics issues ultimately resulting in an optimum cavity design are discussed in detail.

Dedicated To My Mother

# Contents

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider, RHIC . . . . .	1
1.2 Linear Beam Dynamics . . . . .	2
1.2.1 Transverse Betatron Motion . . . . .	3
1.2.2 Emittance . . . . .	5
1.2.3 Dispersion . . . . .	6
1.2.4 Betatron Tune and Chromaticity . . . . .	7
1.2.5 Linear Magnetic Field Errors . . . . .	8
1.3 RHIC Instrumentation . . . . .	8
1.3.1 Beam Position Monitors . . . . .	9
1.3.2 Beam Loss Monitors . . . . .	10
1.3.3 Profile Monitors . . . . .	10
1.3.4 Wall Current Monitors . . . . .	10
1.3.5 Transverse Kickers . . . . .	11
1.3.6 AC Dipoles . . . . .	11
<b>2 Principle Component Analysis and Linear Optics</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	15
2.2 Singular Value Decomposition . . . . .	16
2.3 Linear Optics: Formalism . . . . .	17
2.4 RHIC Linear Optics: Measurements . . . . .	19
2.5 Error source identification . . . . .	22
2.5.1 Global Correction . . . . .	22
2.5.2 Local Correction . . . . .	24
2.6 Summary . . . . .	25

<b>3</b>	<b>Statistical analysis of RHIC beam position monitors performance</b>	<b>28</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	28
3.2	FFT TECHNIQUE . . . . .	28
3.3	SVD Technique . . . . .	30
3.4	Analysis . . . . .	32
3.4.1	Hardware Cut . . . . .	32
3.4.2	Peak-to-Peak Thresholds . . . . .	32
3.4.3	FFT Analysis . . . . .	35
3.4.4	SVD Analysis . . . . .	36
3.4.5	SVD & FFT Comparison . . . . .	40
3.5	Observation of System Improvements . . . . .	40
3.6	Conclusion . . . . .	42
<b>4</b>	<b>Betatron coupling: Merging Formalisms and Localization of Sources</b>	<b>46</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	46
4.2	Hamiltonian terms and coupling matrix . . . . .	47
4.2.1	Matrix formalism . . . . .	48
4.2.2	Resonance driving terms . . . . .	49
4.2.3	Relating the $\mathbf{C}$ matrix to RDT's . . . . .	49
4.2.4	Simulations . . . . .	50
4.3	Determinant of $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ . . . . .	54
4.3.1	Calculation of $\overline{C}_{12}/\gamma$ using SVD . . . . .	54
4.3.2	Calculation of $ \overline{\mathbf{C}} /\gamma^2$ from Tracking Data . . . . .	56
4.3.3	Calculation of $ \overline{\mathbf{C}} /\gamma^2$ for RHIC Lattice . . . . .	58
4.3.4	Calculation of skew quadrupole strengths . . . . .	60
4.4	The closest tune approach . . . . .	61
4.5	Conclusions . . . . .	63
<b>5</b>	<b>Betatron Coupling: Measurements at RHIC with AC Dipoles</b>	<b>65</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	65
5.2	Baseline Measurements of coupling RDT's and $ \overline{\mathbf{C}} /\gamma^2$ . . . . .	66
5.2.1	Injection Energy . . . . .	66
5.2.2	Top Energy (Store) . . . . .	68
5.3	A Possible Correction Strategy . . . . .	68
5.3.1	IR Corrector Scan: Injection . . . . .	69
5.3.2	Vertical Orbit Bump at 2.858 km . . . . .	72
5.3.3	IR Corrector Scan: Store . . . . .	72
5.4	Global Coupling, Correction, and Optimization . . . . .	73

5.5	Conclusion . . . . .	74
<b>6</b>	<b>Electron Cooling at RHIC</b>	<b>88</b>
6.1	Intra-Beam Scattering . . . . .	88
6.2	Electron Cooling . . . . .	90
<b>7</b>	<b>Radio Frequency Basics and Superconductivity</b>	<b>93</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	93
7.2	Pill-Box Cavity . . . . .	94
7.3	Characteristic Parameters . . . . .	96
7.3.1	Accelerating Voltage . . . . .	96
7.3.2	Stored Energy . . . . .	96
7.3.3	Surface Resistance and Power Dissipation . . . . .	96
7.3.4	Quality Factor . . . . .	97
7.3.5	Geometric Factor and Shunt Impedance . . . . .	97
7.4	RF Superconductivity . . . . .	98
7.4.1	Superconductivity . . . . .	98
7.4.2	Surface Resistance of Superconductor . . . . .	99
7.4.3	Critical Fields . . . . .	99
7.4.4	Elliptical Multi-cell Cavities . . . . .	100
<b>8</b>	<b>Ampere Class Energy-Recovery SRF Cavities</b>	<b>101</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	101
8.2	Cavity Design . . . . .	102
8.2.1	Frequency . . . . .	102
8.2.2	Cavity Geometry . . . . .	103
8.2.3	Number of Cells . . . . .	106
8.2.4	Beam Pipe Ferrite Absorbers . . . . .	108
8.2.5	HOM Loop Couplers . . . . .	109
8.2.6	Beam Pipe Geometry . . . . .	109
8.2.7	Final Design . . . . .	111
8.3	Higher Order Modes . . . . .	112
8.3.1	Shunt Impedance and Quality Factor . . . . .	113
8.3.2	HOMs: Frequency Domain . . . . .	117
8.3.3	HOMs: Time Domain Method . . . . .	121
8.4	Single Bunch Effects . . . . .	124
8.5	Multipass Multibunch Instabilities . . . . .	128
8.6	Power Coupler Kick . . . . .	130
8.7	Multipacting . . . . .	134
8.8	Lorentz Force Detuning . . . . .	135

8.9	Conclusion . . . . .	137
<b>9</b>	<b>High Current Superconducting <math>\frac{1}{2}</math>-Cell Gun</b>	<b>139</b>
9.1	Introduction . . . . .	139
9.2	SRF Gun Design . . . . .	139
9.2.1	Cavity Shape . . . . .	140
9.2.2	HOM Power . . . . .	141
9.2.3	Multipacting . . . . .	143
9.3	Beam Dynamics . . . . .	145
9.3.1	Longitudinal Focusing . . . . .	145
9.3.2	Transverse Emittance . . . . .	147
9.4	Final Design and Issues . . . . .	148
9.4.1	Transition Section . . . . .	149
9.4.2	Fundamental Power Coupler . . . . .	153
9.4.3	Cathode Isolation & Design Issues . . . . .	158
9.5	Ideas for a $1\frac{1}{2}$ -Cell Gun for $e^-$ Cooling . . . . .	159
9.6	Conclusion . . . . .	160
<b>10</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>166</b>
10.1	Part I: Linear Optics and Coupling . . . . .	166
10.2	Part II: SRF Cavities . . . . .	166
<b>A</b>	<b>Perturbative View of BPM data Decomposition</b>	<b>168</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Geometric view of coupled SVD modes</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Coupling Matrix</b>	<b>171</b>
C.1	Propagation of the $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ matrix . . . . .	171
C.2	Normalized momenta . . . . .	171
C.3	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{21}$ in coupler free region . . . . .	172
C.4	Skew quadrupole strength from two BPMs . . . . .	173
<b>D</b>	<b>Five-Cell SRF Cavity</b>	<b>174</b>
D.1	Bead Pull for Fundamental Mode . . . . .	174
D.2	BNL II - Alternate Design . . . . .	175
D.3	Bellow Shielding . . . . .	176
<b>E</b>	<b><math>\frac{1}{2}</math>-Cell SRF Gun</b>	<b>178</b>
E.1	Loss Factor Correction for $\beta < 1$ . . . . .	178
E.2	Amplitude and Phase Modulation . . . . .	178
E.3	Voltage Estimates for Parasitic Modes . . . . .	182



## List of Figures

1.1	The hadron collider complex at BNL. . . . .	2
1.2	Nucleon-pair luminosity $A_1 A_2 L$ . . . . .	4
1.3	Frenet-Serret (curvilinear) coordinate system to describe particle motion in an accelerator. . . . .	5
1.4	The Courant-Snyder invariant ellipse with an area of $\pi\epsilon$ . . . . .	6
1.5	Stripline BPM graphic and prototype . . . . .	9
1.6	Transverse profiles recorded by RHIC IPM's . . . . .	10
1.7	Longitudinal profile recorded by RHIC WCM . . . . .	11
1.8	Beam response seen by a BPM due to transverse kick . . . . .	12
1.9	Graphic of the ramp up, flat top, and ramp down of an AC dipole field. . . . .	12
2.1	The 6 o'clock IR final-focus region . . . . .	20
2.2	$\beta$ functions and dispersion functions at the 8'o clock IR region. . . . .	21
2.3	Phase advance and beta function for Au-Au injection optics using AC dipoles. . . . .	22
2.4	Phase advance and beta function for p-p injection optics using AC dipoles. . . . .	23
2.5	RMS relative differences of phase and $\beta$ functions between model and measured values. . . . .	24
3.1	Fourier spectrum of a good RHIC BPM signal . . . . .	29
3.2	Spatial vectors and FFT of temporal vectors of the dominant modes . . . . .	30
3.3	Spatial and temporal vectors of modes corresponding to two noisy BPMs with correlation. . . . .	31
3.4	Percentage of occurrences of system failure per BPM . . . . .	33
3.5	Peak-to-peak values for all BPMs in all data files. . . . .	34
3.6	Histograms of the rms observable for the two rings and two planes. The total number of signals used in the histograms are shown. . . . .	35

3.7	Comparison between two different rms cuts showing qualitatively similar results. . . . .	36
3.8	Average rms observable versus longitudinal position of the BPM. . . . .	37
3.9	Histogram of largest peak amplitudes of all spatial modes . . . . .	38
3.10	Histograms of the norm of $n$ largest peak values of spatial modes . . . . .	39
3.11	Comparison between two SVD thresholds (0.85 and 0.95) for Blue ring-horizontal plane. . . . .	40
3.12	Comparison of the FFT and SVD techniques . . . . .	41
3.13	Percentage of occurrences of system failure per BPM . . . . .	43
3.14	Average rms observable versus longitudinal position of the BPM for Run 2004. . . . .	44
3.15	Histogram showing number of occurrences of faulty BPMs around the ring . . . . .	45
4.1	Comparison of coupling terms $f_{1001}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ . . . . .	51
4.2	Mean of the ratio of the coupling terms as a function of skew quadrupole strength . . . . .	52
4.3	Stop-band limits and coupling terms behavior near sum and difference resonances . . . . .	53
4.4	Comparison $ C /\gamma^2$ between model and numerical calculations . . . . .	57
4.5	Effect of noise on $ C /\gamma^2$ . . . . .	58
4.6	Comparison of $ \overline{\mathbf{C}} /\gamma^2$ between model and numerical calculations using tracking data . . . . .	59
4.7	Schematic view of a skew quad and the neighbor BPMs . . . . .	60
4.8	Skew quadrupole strengths calculated from RDT's and $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ . . . . .	62
4.9	$\Delta Q_{min}$ calculated using RDT's or $ \overline{\mathbf{C}} $ . . . . .	63
5.1	Baseline injection measurements of $4 f_{1001} $ and $ \overline{\mathbf{C}} /\gamma^2$ in yellow ring . . . . .	75
5.2	Baseline injection measurements of $4 f_{1001} $ and $ \overline{\mathbf{C}} /\gamma^2$ in blue ring . . . . .	76
5.3	Baseline injection measurements in yellow ring without skew families . . . . .	77
5.4	Baseline top energy measurements of $4 f_{1001} $ and $ \overline{\mathbf{C}} /\gamma^2$ in yellow ring . . . . .	78
5.5	Baseline top energy measurements of $4 f_{1001} $ and $ \overline{\mathbf{C}} /\gamma^2$ in blue ring . . . . .	79
5.6	Scan of YO9 corrector in IR-10 region . . . . .	80
5.7	Scan of YI3 and YO4 correctors in IR-4 region . . . . .	81
5.8	Scan of YO5 and YI6 correctors in IR-6 region . . . . .	82

5.9	Scan of YO-8 corrector in IR-8 region . . . . .	83
5.10	Scan of YI11 corrector in IR-12 region . . . . .	84
5.11	Scan of YO1 corrector in IR-2 region . . . . .	85
5.12	$ \overline{\mathbf{C}} /\gamma^2$ (top) as a function the vertical orbit bump amplitude .	86
5.13	Coupling vectors of the three skew quadrupole families of RHIC	87
5.14	$f_{1001}$ from model for a set of different skew families configurations	87
6.1	Typical RHIC stores and refill times with gold ions . . . . .	89
6.2	Graphical Representation of IBS with and without $e^-$ cooling.	90
6.3	Electron cooling schematic for RHIC II upgrade . . . . .	92
7.1	Mode frequencies as a function of cavity dimension for a pill box resonator. . . . .	95
7.2	Schematic of an elliptical cavity with field lines of $TM_{010}$ mode.	95
8.1	Average power dissipated due to single bunch losses in existing and future SERLs . . . . .	102
8.2	3D cut away model of the five-cell cyromodule . . . . .	103
8.3	BCS surface resistance as a function of temperature for different operating frequencies . . . . .	104
8.4	Parametrization of elliptical cavities. . . . .	105
8.5	Optimization of some RF parameters using cavity geometry .	106
8.6	Final middle-cell and end-cell designs for five-cell cavity and an alternate design option, BNL II. . . . .	107
8.7	Effect of the number of cells on HOM propogation . . . . .	108
8.8	Ferrite absorber assembly . . . . .	109
8.9	Cut away view of 3D model of the HOM loop coupler . . . . .	110
8.10	Cutoff frequencies of different types of modes in a cylindrical waveguide . . . . .	111
8.11	Beam pipe transition section consisting of two ellipses . . . . .	112
8.12	Graphic of the final design of the five-cell cavity, beam pipe transition and the coaxial FPC. . . . .	112
8.13	Field flatness of the fundamental mode peak-peak 96.5%. . . . .	113
8.14	Trapping of an HOM due to large frequency difference between middle and end cells . . . . .	115
8.15	Shunt impedance ( $R/Q$ ) calculated using MAFIA for monopole and dipole modes upto 2.5 GHz. . . . .	116
8.16	A coupling parameter $\kappa$ shows the influence of the BC on the frequency of the mode . . . . .	118
8.17	Dispersion curves of monopole and dipole modes . . . . .	119

8.18	Full scale copper prototype . . . . .	121
8.19	Comparison of calculated and measured values of $Q_{ext}$ . . . . .	122
8.20	Impedance spectrum and wake function for azimuthally symmetric modes . . . . .	124
8.21	Impedance spectrum and wake function for transverse dipole modes . . . . .	127
8.22	Integrated loss factor for BNL I geometry . . . . .	127
8.23	Logitudinal and transverse loss factors as a function of bunch length. . . . .	128
8.24	A computation of complex eigenvalues for each frequency sample from 0.8 to 1.8 GHz. . . . .	130
8.25	Time domain beam breakup simulations for $e^-$ -cooling scenario	131
8.26	Frequency domain beam breakup simulations for $e^-$ -cooling scenario . . . . .	132
8.27	$Q_{ext}$ as a function of the tip penetration . . . . .	133
8.28	Longitudinal and transverse fields on-axis of the SRF cavity due to transverse coaxial coupler . . . . .	134
8.29	Electron counter function and impact energy of surviving electrons	135
8.30	Enhanced counter function as a function of peak field . . . . .	136
8.31	Multipacting electron trajectories . . . . .	137
8.32	Surface electric and magnetic fields on the five-cell cavity and corresponding radiation pressure . . . . .	138
8.33	Deformation of the cavity walls due to Lorentz forces . . . . .	138
9.1	Conceptual 3D Graphic of $\frac{1}{2}$ cell SRF gun at 703.75 MHz . . . . .	140
9.2	Six different cavity shapes used for comparison to optimize the final gun design . . . . .	142
9.3	Integrated longitudinal loss factor calculated by ABCI for the six different designs under consideration. . . . .	143
9.4	Electron counter function and final impact energy of the surviving electrons . . . . .	144
9.5	Enhanced counter function as a function of peak electric field . . . . .	145
9.6	Multipacting electron trajectories in the gun . . . . .	146
9.7	Energy-phase curves for the six different designs . . . . .	147
9.8	Energy spread in the prototype system with six different gun shapes . . . . .	148
9.9	Recessed and non-recessed cathode . . . . .	149
9.10	Longitudinal electric field for recessed and non-recessed cathodes	150
9.11	Vertical emittance for the prototype ERL for six different gun designs . . . . .	151

9.12	Longitudinal and transverse emittances as a function of cathode position . . . . .	153
9.13	Broadband impedance spectrum for monopole and dipole modes	154
9.14	Beam pipe transition section for the SRF gun . . . . .	155
9.15	Frequency spectrum of the beam harmonics with amplitude and time modulations . . . . .	156
9.16	3D graphic of the 703.75 MHz SRF gun with the dual FPCs with an optimized “pringle” tip. . . . .	157
9.17	FPC outer conductor and beam pipe blending to increase coupling	161
9.18	cross section of the SRF gun and the coupler showing the pringle contour and dimensions . . . . .	162
9.19	$Q_{ext}$ as a function of the transverse pringle dimensions . . . . .	162
9.20	$Q_{ext}$ as a function of the pringle tip thickness . . . . .	163
9.21	$Q_{ext}$ as a function of the tip penetration . . . . .	163
9.22	Longitudinal and transverse fields on-axis of the SRF gun due to FPC . . . . .	164
9.23	Initial design of $1\frac{1}{2}$ -cell gun for $e^-$ -cooler . . . . .	165
B.1	Parametric plots of SVD modes of BPM data from each plane (x-red, y-blue). Data taken using ac dipoles during Run-2004.	169
D.1	Broadband impedance for monopole and dipole modes computed by ABCI for BNL I, I-A, and II designs. . . . .	175
D.2	A simple shielding mechanism for the bellows in the 5 K helium region. . . . .	176
D.3	A simple shielding mechanism for the bellows in the 5 K helium region. . . . .	177
E.1	Longitudinal loss factors computed for the first nine monopole modes in the gun using Eq. ?? for both $\beta = 1$ and $\beta = 0.5$ . The analytical calculation is also compared to the numerical calculation using ABCI. . . . .	179

## List of Tables

1.1	Ion performance evolution for the RHIC collider. . . . .	3
1.2	RHIC parameters for Au-Au, p-p, and Cu-Cu during Runs IV and V. . . . .	14
2.1	Injection optics at different working points . . . . .	26
2.2	Top energy optics at different working points . . . . .	27
3.1	Thresholds for peak-to-peak values . . . . .	34
4.1	FODO Lattice Parameters (NA: Not applicable) . . . . .	50
5.1	Design tunes and AC dipole drive tunes along with drive amplitude settings at injection energy . . . . .	67
5.2	Design tunes and AC dipole drive tunes along with drive amplitude settings at top energy settings . . . . .	68
5.3	Skew quadrupole maximum strengths, and nominal settings at store and injection for the yellow ring. . . . .	69
5.4	Skew quadrupole maximum strengths, and nominal settings at store and injection for the yellow ring . . . . .	70
6.1	Parameters for the prototype SC-ERL . . . . .	92
7.1	AC power required to operate 500 MHz superconducting and normal conducting cavities at 1 MV/m . . . . .	98
8.1	Cavity geometrical parameters . . . . .	107
8.2	RF Parameters for final design of BNL I cavity compared to an alternate BNL II, TESLA, and CEBAF cavities. NE - Not estimated. . . . .	114
8.3	Measurement of $R_a/Q_0$ using spherical beads. $R/Q$ determined from MAFIA calculation is <b>403.5 <math>\Omega</math></b> . . . . .	114
8.4	Lossy properties of ferrite-50, C-48, and those used in simulation	120

8.5	Longitudinal and transverse loss factors for BNL I, BNL II, TESLA, and CEBAF designs . . . . .	126
8.6	Transverse kick and normalized emittance growth for a single coupler, dual coupler with 1 mm asymmetry, and a single coupler with a symmetrizing stub, both with a $Q_{ext} \approx 3 \times 10^7$ . . . . .	133
9.1	Parameters for the prototype SC-ERL . . . . .	141
9.2	Comparison of RF parameters for the six different cavity shapes	141
9.3	Beam dynamics parameters for the six designs . . . . .	152
9.4	Cavity geometrical parameters using elliptical parametrization	152
9.5	Transverse kick and normalized emittance growth due to FPC asymmetry . . . . .	158
E.1	Frequencies and R/Q values (accelerator definition) for the first few monopole and dipole modes in the SRF gun. . . . .	180

## Acknowledgements

Three women come ahead of everyone else. Fate or not I am her grandson, son, and brother for which I am forever grateful. Their support and trust without which this thesis would simply be a ridiculous thought.

If I can describe my adviser Ilan in one word, OUTSTANDING comes to mind. His patience, vision, commitment, and care is simply something to strive for. I owe a lot Steve Peggs who through countless esoteric discussions manages to kindle new thoughts and interesting avenues.

A special thanks to Rogelio who can probably take credit for a good part of this thesis. In the course of the past few years, he has become one of my closest friends. The infinite number of conversations about everything life and work has gotten me through this tempest called “graduate school”. I owe you man!! I would also like to thank a mutual friend and collaborator Andrea. I wish this team will go strong for many years to come.

The three years at the collider accelerator department was exceptional and I can only attribute this experience to some of the nicest and most professional colleagues some of who have become good friends.

T. Roser, V. Litvenenko, W. Fischer, J. Sekutowicz and M. Blaskeiwicz have helped me a great in making this thesis a reality and I am grateful for the numerous illuminating discussions.

Todd Satogata needs a special mention, I cannot thank him enough for the infinite number of times he has helped through almost every aspect of this thesis.

There are a number of people I would to thank from the Accelerator Physics group who have helped me a great deal to learn about the complex RHIC machine. In particular, M. Bai, V.Ptitsyn, S. Tepikian, W. Mckay, N. Malitsky, Y. Luo, D. Kayran, D.Trbojevic, F. Pilat, A. Drees, J. DeLong, K. Smith, M. Brennan and others. I would also like to sincerely thank G. McIntyre, D. Pate, A. Burill, S.Seiberg, R.Anderson and the rest of the engineering and machine shop crew have gone out of their way to help me and accommodate my requests.

I owe a lot to the administrative staff both at Stony Brook and BNL who

made my graduate career an easy sail. I would to mention a few names, Pat, Diane, Sara from Stony Brook and Ana, Marion, Gladys, Ann who made sure that immigration doesn't kick me out.

Last but not the least, I would also like thank all my collaborators and friends from a number of National Labs and Universities whose help and friendship was invaluable. It is inevitable that I forgot to mention someone, but I would like assure that your contribution to this thesis will not be forgotten.