

**Fire Hazard Analysis
Building 942
AGS Booster Accelerator**

Brookhaven National Laboratory

Prepared by:



B. Campbell,
Hughes Associates, Inc.
2 Garden Center, Suite 204
Broomfield, CO 80020

Project Review by:

M. Kretschmann PE, Fire
Protection

Concurrence:

Department Chair

Date of Last Survey: None on record
Date of Report: March 19, 2007

CONFERRED WITH:

Michael Kretschmann, PE
Joe Levesque, Manager
Asher Etkin, PhD.

Fire Protection Engineering
Emergency Services Division
Safety Division

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	OVERVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS	1
1.1	Purpose and Methodology	1
1.2	Summary	3
1.3	Findings and Recommendations	4
1.3.1	New Findings and Recommendations	4
1.3.2	Outstanding Recommendations from Previous Reviews.....	6
2.0	SCOPE	7
3.0	LOCATION	7
4.0	CONSTRUCTION.....	7
4.1	Occupancy Classification.....	7
4.2	Construction Type.....	7
4.3	Passive Fire Protection.....	10
4.3.1	Fire Areas.....	10
5.0	FIRE PROTECTION	11
5.1	Automatic Fire Suppression Systems	11
5.1.1	Site Water Supply	11
5.1.2	Building Water Supply and Fire Department Connection.....	11
5.1.3	Sprinkler Systems	12
5.1.4	Fire Standpipe Systems.....	12
5.1.5	Other Suppression Systems.....	12
5.2	Fire Alarm Systems.....	12
5.2.1	Building Fire Alarm System	12
5.2.2	Site Fire Alarm System.....	12
5.3	Automatic Detection Systems.....	13
5.4	Fire Extinguishers	13
5.5	Smoke Exhaust System.....	13
6.0	FIRE HAZARDS	13
6.1	Special Occupancies	13
6.1.1	Instrumentation and Data Processing Equipment.....	15
6.1.2	Vital and Important Records Storage.....	16
6.1.3	Trailers and Portable Structures.....	16
6.1.4	Cooling Towers.....	16
6.1.5	Electrical Substations.....	16
6.1.6	Flammable Liquid & Gas Storage	16
6.1.7	Cables and Raceways.....	17
6.2	Unique Fire Hazards	17
6.3	Housekeeping in Vital Areas	17
6.4	Building Materials	17
6.5	Exterior Exposure Hazards	17

6.6	Natural Phenomenon Hazard Exposure	18
6.6.1	Lightning Potential.....	18
6.6.2	Windstorm Potential	18
6.6.3	Brush Fire Potential	18
6.6.4	Earthquake Potential	18
6.6.5	Flooding Potential.....	18
6.7	Toxic Fire Potential.....	19
6.8	Biological Fire Potential	19
6.9	Radiation Fire Potential	19
7.0	PRE-FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLANNING	19
7.1	Protection of Essential Safety Class Systems	19
7.2	Protection of Vital Programs	19
7.3	Protection of High Value Property	19
7.4	Critical Process Equipment.....	20
7.5	Maximum Possible Fire Loss (MPFL) and Maximum Credible Fire Loss (MCFL)....	20
7.5.1	MPFL Scenario	20
7.5.2	MPFL Calculation.....	21
7.5.3	MCFL Scenario.....	22
7.5.4	MPFL/MCFL Summary.....	22
7.6	Recovery Potential.....	22
7.7	BNL Fire/Rescue Group	23
7.8	Fire Apparatus Accessibility.....	23
7.9	Security Considerations Related to Fire Protection	23
8.0	LIFE SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS	23
8.1	Occupancy Load Factor and Calculations	24
	Occupancy load factor and calculations	24
8.2	Means of Egress.....	24
8.2.1	Number and Arrangement of Exits	24
8.2.2	Capacity of Exits.....	25
8.2.3	Travel Distance	25
8.2.4	Common Path of Travel.....	25
8.2.5	Dead Ends	25
8.2.6	Security Considerations Related to Fire Protection	25
8.2.7	Separation of Means of Egress	26
8.3	Exit Signs and Emergency Lighting	26
8.4	Emergency Roof Exits	26
8.5	Egress through Adjoining/Intervening Spaces.....	26
8.6	Exit Discharge.....	26
8.7	Horizontal Sliding Doors	26
8.8	Fire Escape Ladders.....	27
8.9	Door Heights.....	27
8.10	Discharge to Roofs.....	27
8.11	Barriers.....	28
8.11.1	Occupancy Separations	28

8.11.2	Incidental Use Areas	28
8.11.3	Separation of Means of Egress	28
8.11.4	Exit Access Corridors	28
8.11.5	Vertical Opening Barriers	28
8.11.6	Egress Stairways	28
8.12	Fire Protection Systems Required by Code	29
8.13	Operational Requirements that are Required by Code	29
9.0	REFERENCE DOCUMENTS	29
9.1	National Fire Protection Association	29
9.2	FM Global Loss Prevention Data Sheets	30
APPENDIX A – FHA FIGURES		1
APPENDIX B – LIGHTNING RISK CALCULATION.....		1
APPENDIX C – DETERMINATION OF WILDFIRE HAZARD SEVERITY		1

1.0 OVERVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 Purpose and Methodology

A Fire Hazard Analysis (FHA) was performed for Building 942, the Alternating Gradient Synchrotron (AGS) Booster Accelerator at Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL), Upton, NY. This report fulfills the requirement for documentation of an FHA as outlined in DOE Order 420.1, Facility Safety. This FHA assesses the risk from fire in Building 942 to ascertain whether the facility meets the objectives of DOE Order 420.1 and the Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL) Fire Safety Program. The fundamental goal of the BNL Fire Safety Program is to control fire risks such that:

1. Public and employees are not unreasonably endangered by fire;
2. Vital Laboratory missions are maintained without significant interruption from fire;
3. Property losses are limited to less than \$1 million dollars per occurrence, and lower when justified by cost-effective, risk reduction measures;
4. Damage to the environment is averted; and
5. The potential for occurrences of fires are avoided whenever economically feasible.

This FHA is an evaluation of the fire hazards (1) that expose Building 942 and (2) that are inherent in the building or operations. The adequacy of the fire safety features in the building and the degree of compliance of the facility with specific fire safety provisions in DOE orders, and related engineering codes and standards, were determined. The results of the analyses are presented in terms of the fire hazards present, the potential extent of fire damage, and the impact on employee and public safety.

The general approach taken to complete this evaluation involved the identification of fire hazards in the building and the fire protection features required to mitigate the adverse consequences of a fire. A determination was made as to the adequacy of the proposed fire protection features to effectively control the fire hazards. Concerns for the protection of safety systems, critical processes, and life safety of building occupants from fire were essential considerations in the analysis. Compliance was determined by a comparison of existing conditions found during the site visits with current code requirements. Where conflicting requirements were found the more conservative requirements were used in this evaluation.

Maximum Possible Fire Loss (MPFL) and Maximum Credible Fire Loss (MCFL) potentials were also evaluated. The MPFL, as defined in DOE Order 420.1, is the value of property within a fire area, unless a fire hazard analysis demonstrates a lesser (or greater) loss potential, assuming the failure of both automatic fire suppression systems and manual fire fighting efforts. The MCFL, as defined in DOE Standard 1066-99 Fire Protection Criteria, is the value of property within a fire area, unless a fire hazard analysis demonstrates a lesser (or greater) loss potential. This assumes that all installed fire protection systems function as designed, and the effect of emergency response is omitted except for post-fire actions. Both MPFL and MCFL fire

loss estimates are to include the replacement cost of equipment and property and any applicable decontamination and cleanup costs.

The MPFL scenario was based on a qualitative consideration of several factors; the potential to reach flashover conditions based on combustible loading and the geometry of the space(s) under consideration; adequacy of passive protection features; and continuity of combustibles.

The MCFL scenario is one in which automatic suppression systems function as designed. Since properly designed and installed sprinkler systems should limit the fire growth and/or damage to the design area of the system, this floor area is used in the determination of MCFL potentials when protected by automatic sprinkler systems. Without sprinkler protection the MCFL is the same as the postulated MPFL for that area.

MPFL and MCFL potentials were determined based on an average dollar density of the building replacement value divided by the floor area of the building. Building values were obtained from 2004 replacement costs. The content and equipment values were calculated based on the following assumptions:

- An average of \$20/ft² for content and equipment value within predominantly office areas.
- An average of \$100/ft² for content and equipment value within the industrial and experimental areas of the building.

The above cost assumptions are considered adequately conservative to address the requirement to include decontamination and cleanup costs.

A qualitative assessment of the risk presented by conditions found to be deficient was also performed and is included in Section 1.3, Findings and Recommendations. This assessment was made by assignment of a risk assessment code (RAC). The RAC methodology is used in a number of industries as a tool to qualitatively prioritize deficiencies and corrective actions and is derived as follows:

1. Hazard Severity. An assessment of the worst potential consequence, defined by degree of occupational injury, illness or property damage which is likely to occur as a result of the deficiency. Hazard severity categories shall be assigned by roman numerals according to the following criteria:
 - a. Category I. May cause death, permanent total disability, or loss of a facility/asset.
 - b. Category II. May cause permanent partial disability, temporary total disability in excess of 90 days (severe injury or severe occupational illness), or major property damage.
 - c. Category III. May cause minor injury, occupational illness, or property damage.
 - d. Category IV. Presents minimal threat to personnel safety or health, or property, but is still in violation of a standard.

2. **Mishap Probability.** The probability that a hazard will result in a mishap or loss, based on an assessment of such factors as location, exposure (cycles or hours of operation), affected populations, experience, or previously established statistical information. Mishap probability shall be assigned an English alphabet symbol according to the following criteria:
- Subcategory **A**. Likely to occur immediately or within a short period of time. Expected to occur frequently to an individual item or person or continuously to a fleet, inventory or group.
 - Subcategory **B**. Probably will occur in time. Expected to occur several times to an individual item or person or frequently to a fleet, inventory or group.
 - Subcategory **C**. May occur in time. Can reasonably be expected to occur some time to an individual item or person or several times to a fleet, inventory or group.
 - Subcategory **D**. Unlikely to occur.
3. **Risk Assessment Code.** Using the matrix shown below, the RAC is expressed as a single Arabic number that is used to help determine hazard abatement priorities.

Hazard Severity	Mishap Probability			
	A	B	C	D
I	1	1	2	3
II	1	2	3	4
III	2	3	4	5
IV	3	4	5	6

RAC Definitions

- 1-Critical
- 2-Serious
- 3-Moderate
- 4-Minor
- 5 & 6-Negligible

1.2 Summary

Building 942, the booster accelerator which supports the NASA Space Radiation Laboratory, The AGS and RHIC programs, was constructed in 1987 and commissioned in 1991 and is 12,197 square feet.

The descriptions are based on field surveys, a review of the as-built documents, and discussions with BNL staff. This assessment and FHA demonstrates the achievement of a reasonable and equivalent level of fire safety that meets DOE improved risk objectives.



Overview of the BNL

This Fire Hazards Analysis (FHA) has been performed to comprehensively assess the risk from fire in Building 942, the AGS Booster Accelerator. The FHA includes an analysis of the fire and life safety features of the facility to determine the level of compliance with DOE Order 420.1 Fire Protection objectives.

Based on the analysis, it has been determined that Building 942 generally complies with DOE Order 420.1 Fire Protection objectives. The following recommendations are the result of this evaluation.

1.3 Findings and Recommendations

1.3.1 New Findings and Recommendations

Finding: The existing automatic sprinklers and standpipe should be hydraulically pressurized to verify the integrity of the systems.

Hazard Severity	III
Mishap Probability	C
Risk Assessment Code	4

Recommendation HAI-06-942-01: The standpipe and sprinkler piping shall be hydraulically pressurized per National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) codes to verify the integrity of the systems. (See Section 5.1.3/5.1.4)

Finding: The existing automatic sprinklers should have a flushing examination to ensure the operability of the system.

Hazard Severity	III
Mishap Probability	C
Risk Assessment Code	4

Recommendation HAI-06-942-02: The standpipe and sprinkler piping shall be flushed per National Fire Protection Association (NFPA 25) requirements. (See Section 5.1.3, 5.1.4)

Finding: Combustible wood is being used on a cable tray.

Hazard Severity	III
Mishap Probability	B
Risk Assessment Code	3

Recommendation HAI-06-942-03: The wood should be removed. ([See Section 5.1.3](#))



Photographs #1 and #2 - Combustibles on Cable Tray

Finding: One-half inch pipe nipples and elbows are in use for the sprinkler system in the tunnel.

Hazard Severity	III
Mishap Probability	C
Risk Assessment Code	4

Recommendation HAI-06-942-04: The use of ½ inch pipe nipples and elbows should be evaluated by Fire Protection Engineering for their appropriateness. (See Section 5.1.3)



Photograph #3 – ½ inch Pipe Nipple

The following is a summary of recommendations and their relative priority.

Rec.No.	Recommendation	RAC
HAI-06-942-1	Pressure Test of Sprinkler Piping and Standpipe	4
HAI-06-942-2	Flushing of Sprinkler Piping and Standpipe	4
HAI-06-942-3	Remove combustibles	3
HAI-06-942-4	Use of ½-inch Pipe Nipples	4

1.3.2 Outstanding Recommendations from Previous Reviews

None

2.0 SCOPE

This FHA is based on information supplied by the Accelerator Department staff, a survey of the facility conducted in November 2006, and a review of available drawings.

The following codes and standards were utilized for this evaluation:

The Building Code of New York State 2002 Edition (BCNYS)

International Code Council (ICC), International Building Code (IBC) 2003 Edition;

ICC, International Fire Code (IFC) 2003 Edition

National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Codes, Standards, and Recommended Practices – See Section 9 (Reference Documents) of this report for a complete list.

3.0 LOCATION

Building 942 is located in the central west region of Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL). BNL is a 5,000 acre site owned by the Department of Energy and operated by Brookhaven Science Associates. BNL is located in Upton, New York.

4.0 CONSTRUCTION

4.1 Occupancy Classification

Building 942, the AGS Booster Accelerator is classified by BCNYS (Sec. 306.1) as “Factory Industrial F-2 Low Hazard” occupancy.” NFPA 101 (3.3.152.8.3) classifies this buildings as “Industrial, Special Purpose” occupancy. The following occupancy classifications are based on LSC and BCNYS criteria:

Use	LSC Occupancy Classification	BCNYS Group Classification
Tunnel	Special-Purpose Industrial	Group F-2

4.2 Construction Type

The tunnel consists of 10 foot corrugated steel tunneling with reinforced concrete transition points and flooring that is approximately 1’-6” thick along the center line that acts as a foundation for the beam line and also as a walking surface.

The building construction type is BCNYS Type IIB and NFPA Type II (000). This is an underground structure with corrugated steel walls covered with earth that do not have a known fire resistive rating, thus the unprotected classification. Engineering judgment could indicate a minimum 1 to 2 hour rating, but there is no documentation of this configuration. The

construction type thus is called a Type IIB (not protected) since the exact fire resistive properties of the tunnel are not known.

The primary combustible loading in the tunnel consists of power and control wiring for the magnets. None of the materials are highly flammable and, with the possible exception of small amounts of control cable, all are expected to self-extinguish upon the de-energizing of electric power without propagation to other equipment. Wood was found on top of a cable tray that does introduce unnecessary combustible loading (See **Recommendation HAI-06-942-03**).

There are five levels of steel cable trays with approximately 50% fill located along the tunnel wall starting at approximately 3 to 4 feet from the floor. The cables are AC/DC power cables and signal/control COAX.



Photograph #4 – Building 942 – Tunnel Interior

Life Safety Code

The LSC does not specify a minimum construction type for existing special purpose industrial occupancies [§39.1.6; §40.1.6]. The LSC permits an occupant load of not more than 1,000 persons and located at the level of exit discharge to be within a building of Type II(000) construction regardless of automatic sprinkler protection [LSC Table 13.1.6]. Thus, the existing construction easily complies with LSC requirements.

Building Code of New York State

Section 503 and Table 503 of the BCNYS contain criteria for the allowable height and area of buildings based on their occupancies and construction type.

The BCNYS permits an increase in allowable areas for buildings that have more than 25 percent of their perimeter on a public way or open space having a minimum width of 20 feet [IBC, §506.2]. The area increase due to frontage is determined in accordance with the following equation:

$$I_f = 100[F/P - 0.25] W/30, \text{ where:}$$

I_f = Area increase due to frontage.

F = Building perimeter which fronts on a public way or open space having 20 feet open minimum width (feet).

P = Perimeter of entire building (feet).

W = Width of public way or open space (feet). The width (W) must be at least 20 feet and W/30 cannot exceed 1.0.

Building 942 is 12,197 square feet, which is within the base allowable area of 23,000 square feet for Type IIB, thus the increase for public way is not necessary to apply.

Table 4.2-1. Allowable Height and Areas for BCNYS Group F-2

	Group F-2	
	Type IIA	Type IIB
Base Height	65 ft 5 stories	55 ft 3 stories
Base Area (ft ²)	37,500	23,000
Modified Area (ft ²) based on public way increases	65,625	40,250

International Building Code

Based on an F2 occupancy and Type II-A construction, Table 503 of the IBC permits the maximum allowable area to be 21,000 square feet and a height of 2 stories. Since Building 942 is 12,197 square feet, which is within the base allowable area of 23,000 square feet for Type IIB, the increase for public way is not necessary to apply.

Section 506 and 507 of the IBC contain allowable area increases based on the location of the building and sprinkler protection, if provided. The areas limited by Table 503 can be increased due to frontage and automatic sprinklers based on the following:

$$A_a = A_t + [A_t I_f / 100] + [A_t I_s / 100]$$

Where:

A_a = Allowable area per floor

A_t = Allowable floor area per Table 503

I_f = Area increase due to frontage (percent) as calculated in accordance with 506.2

I_s = Area increase due to sprinkler protection (percent) as calculated in accordance with Section 506.3.

$$I_f = 100[F/P - 0.25] W/30$$

Where:

I_f = Area increase due to frontage

F = Building perimeter which fronts on a public way or open space having 20 feet open minimum width

P = Perimeter of entire building

W = Width of public way

4.3 Passive Fire Protection

Passive fire protection features include fire-resistive construction, fire doors, fire windows, and fire and smoke dampers. The features are provided to limit fire spread and damage from the area of fire origin to other portions of the building.

4.3.1 Fire Areas

Building 942 is not subdivided.

Building 942 complies with the codes of record with respect to occupancy separations. There are no areas in this facility that are defined as incidental or accessory occupancy use areas as noted in BCNYS “§302.1.1” or NFPA 101 §6.1.14.1.2 and “§6.1.14.1.3.”

A fire area is defined as a portion of a building that is bounded by a combination of fire-resistive walls and floor/ceiling assemblies, and/or exterior walls. In DOE facilities, fire areas are typically provided for property protection. The Implementation Guide for DOE Order 420.1 requires credited fire areas to be separated from the remainder of the building by a minimum of 2-hour fire barriers (walls and horizontal assemblies). Fire areas may also be provided for compliance with building code limitations for building additions.

5.0 FIRE PROTECTION

Existing fire protection systems that provide protection to full or segmented portions of this facility can be classified in four categories; Automatic Fire Suppression Systems, Fire Alarm, Automatic Detection Systems, and Fire Extinguishers. The following is a description of the existing installed systems in the building.

5.1 Automatic Fire Suppression Systems

5.1.1 Site Water Supply

BNL has a combination domestic and fire protection water supply system. The system is supplied by several deep wells and is stabilized by two elevated water storage tanks (one 1 million gallon and one 300,000 gallon capacity). The wells have electric primary drivers and a limited number have backup internal combustion drivers. The system can sustain three days of domestic supply and a maximum fire demand (4,000 gallons per minute (GPM) for 4 hours) for BNL with two of the system's largest pumps out of service and one storage tank unavailable. The piping distribution network is well gridded. The distribution system in the vicinity of Building 942 has a static supply pressure of approximately 52 pounds per square inch (PSI) at low elevated tank levels; and approximately 65 psi normally. The water supply system in the area can supply about 5,500 GPM at 20 PSI (based on the Water Distribution Model Analysis developed by the Fire Protection Engineering Group during the summer of 2004.)

Frost proof Fire hydrants are provided within 300 ft of the entrances into the tunnel. Frost proof hydrants are needed since the frost line extends to 4 feet below the surface in the winter. BNL and the local Suffolk County Fire Departments use National Standard Thread couplings.

BNL's Plant Engineering Division maintains the water supply system. BNL's Fire/Rescue Group conducts valve inspections on the distribution system to ensure reliability of firefighting water supplies.

5.1.2 Building Water Supply and Fire Department Connection

The AGS Booster Accelerator tunnel is provided with automatic sprinklers and a standpipe system that is supplied by a 4 inch feed.

5.1.3 Sprinkler Systems

Automatic fire suppression is provided by a wet pipe sprinkler system in the AGS Booster Tunnel. The system is sized in accordance with the NFPA 13 pipe schedule method, except for the use of ½ inch pipe nipples and elbows (**Recommendation HAI-06-942-04**). The sprinkler piping is connected to the 4-inch combined sprinkler/standpipe main that runs along the entire AGS Booster tunnel length. The majority of the tunnel is not protected with automatic sprinklers.

The following recommendations were being submitted for the sprinkler system;

“The standpipe and sprinkler piping shall be hydraulically pressurized and flushed per National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) codes to verify the integrity of the systems, and the use of ½ inch pipe nipples and elbows should be evaluated by Fire Protection Engineering.”

5.1.4 Fire Standpipe Systems

One automatic wet standpipe system conforming to NFPA 14 is installed in this facility, located along the wall of the tunnel. The class of the standpipe systems, as listed in the BCNYS, is “Class II” systems. A 1 ½ inch hose outlet is provided approximately every 150 feet. The outlets are not provided with hose or nozzles, which is the acceptable practice.

The standpipe system is interconnected (feeds the automatic sprinklers) with the automatic sprinkler system.

The following outstanding recommendation was submitted for the standpipe system;

”The standpipe and sprinkler system shall be hydraulically pressurized and flushed per NFPA codes to verify the integrity of the systems.”

5.1.5 Other Suppression Systems

There are no other fixed fire suppression systems in Building 942.

5.2 Fire Alarm Systems

The facility is not provided with a fire alarm system.

5.2.1 Building Fire Alarm System

The Building is not provided with a fire alarm system, other than sprinkler water flow for the automatic sprinklers.

5.2.2 Site Fire Alarm System

Brookhaven National Laboratory provides central fire alarm station coverage using a fault tolerant server infrastructure based multiplexed Site Fire Alarm System. The system is an Andover Continuum; installed in 2005 (Andover is a part of Simplex Grinnell). The system complies with the requirements of NFPA 72 defined as a Style 6 Class “A” System.

Two mirrored servers are located in separate buildings. If the lead server fails the system automatically switches over to the working server. The Site Fire Alarm System operates on a fault tolerant high speed Ethernet infrastructure that utilizes network switches and fiber wiring between each of the major components.

The Site Fire Alarm System monitors fire alarm panels located throughout BNL by using the existing site telephone cable plant. RS232 signals are sent via full duplex line drivers. Each fire alarm panel has two channels connected to the Site Fire Alarm System. The panels are divided into 9 communication "loops." It is currently monitoring 9,700 points. Response time from alarm at the panel to alarm indication at the Central Station is less than 82 seconds, which is within the 90 seconds allowed by NFPA 72.

The main console is at the Firehouse, Bldg. 599. This station monitors all fire alarm signals, trouble and communication status alarms. A satellite station is provided at Safeguards and Security, Bldg. 50, and receives only the fire alarm signals. If the Firehouse does not acknowledge an alarm within 90 seconds, the satellite station at Bldg. 50 will receive an audible indication to handle the alarm. A second satellite station is provided at AGS Main Control Room, Bldg. 911, and receives only the fire alarm signals from the RHIC/AGS accelerator buildings. A team of Collider-Accelerator Control Room operators and Health Physics Support personnel respond during accelerator operating times.

5.3 Automatic Detection Systems

The building is not provided with automatic detection systems.

5.4 Fire Extinguishers

Fire extinguishers are provided in the building. The location and placement of portable fire extinguishers is in accordance with NFPA 10, *Standard for Portable Fire Extinguishers*.

5.5 Smoke Exhaust System

The building is not provided with a manual or automatic smoke exhaust system.

6.0 FIRE HAZARDS

Fire hazard potentials are classified into four major categories; Special Occupancies, Unique Fire Hazards, Housekeeping in Vital Areas, Building Materials, Exterior Exposure Hazards, Natural Phenomenon Hazard Exposure, Toxic Fire Potential, Biological Fire Potential, and Radiation Fire Potential. The following is an evaluation of Building 942 for each category.

6.1 Special Occupancies

Special occupancies include: instrumentation and data processing equipment, vital and important records, trailers, cooling towers, electrical substations, flammable liquid and gas

storage, cables and raceways, . The special occupancies applicable to Building 942 are expanded upon in Sections 6.1.1 thru 6.1.7, below.

Building 942 is the AGS Accelerator Tunnel. The Booster synchrotron was commissioned in 1991 (construction started in 1986), and is located at the north corner of the AGS near the 200-MeV Linac. The Booster is a powerful compact circular accelerator (200 meters) that provides positively charged ions more energy by having them “surf ride” on the downhill slope of radio-frequency electromagnetic waves. The Booster receives proton beams from the Linac and heavy ion beams from the TVDG. The ions are propelled forward at higher and higher speeds, getting closer and closer to the speed of light. The Booster feeds energetic beams into another accelerator, the Alternating Gradient Synchrotron (AGS), or into an experimental area, the NASA Space Laboratory. Typical energies of particles at the Booster extraction are 1.5 GeV for protons, 0.1 to 1.1 GeV per nucleon for Fe ions and up to 0.34 GeV per Nucleon for Au ions.



Photograph #5 – Magnets within Tunnel




Diagram of the Booster and Surrounding Area

6.1.1 Instrumentation and Data Processing Equipment

DOE/EP-0108 established levels of protection for Instrumentation and Data Processing equipment and the facility in which it is housed. The facility does not contain any significant instrumentation or data processing equipment and does not exceed any of the thresholds in DOE/EP-0108 for smoke detection, automatic sprinklers or fire barriers beyond the present level of protection.

Automatic Smoke Detection Protection

Computer equipment rooms or areas that exceed the \$250,000 limit DOE establishes require smoke detection. There are no computer rooms associated with Building 942, and no smoke detection systems.

Automatic Sprinkler Protection

Computer equipment rooms or areas that exceed the 1 million dollar value limit require sprinkler protection. There are no computer rooms associated with the building.

Fire Barriers

DOE requires fire barriers if the structure and contents exceed 50 million dollar value. No fire barriers are required by this DOE standard in this facility.

6.1.2 Vital and Important Records Storage

Vital records are those records which are essential to the mission of an important program and which, if lost, could not be reproduced or obtained elsewhere. Important records are those records possessing a high value to the mission of an important program but which, if lost, could be reproduced or reconstructed with difficulty or significant extra expense.

Based on the above definitions, there are no vital records essential to the mission in this transport facility.

6.1.3 Trailers and Portable Structures

There are no trailers or portable structures associated with Building 942.

6.1.4 Cooling Towers

There are no cooling towers associated with Building 942.

6.1.5 Electrical Substations

Transformers do not present an exposure hazard to Building 942.

6.1.6 Flammable Liquid & Gas Storage

The use of flammable liquids in the Building 942 is minimal. The quantity of flammable gases and liquids in the facilities are less than the limits mandated by BCNYS Table 307.7(1) "*Maximum Allowable Quantity per Control Area of Hazardous Materials Posing a Physical Hazard.*" Use of flammable liquids are in accordance with BNL ES&H Standards (found at <https://sbms.bnl.gov/ld/ld08/ld08d481.pdf>).

6.1.7 Cables and Raceways

High voltage, low voltage, control, and signaling cables are segregated throughout the building in accordance with NEC requirements. The cabling is located in conduits, raceways and cable trays. Most of the power and control cables and wiring in the building have Hypalon jacketing which has low-toxicity, low-smoke, and self-extinguishing ratings. The use of this jacketing will minimize fire propagation and smoke generation in the event of a fire. Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) and other flammable types of insulation and jacketing have been kept to a minimum, in accordance with the fire protection program.

Cable trays are easily accessible for manual fire fighting. Cable tray fires are not fast spreading. There is no early warning provided for the cable trays, thus the time to detection of a cable fire will be delayed, but manageable based on the automatic sprinklers in the building. This philosophy of easy access by manual fire fighting efforts and early warning detection (which is not present in this building) is described as acceptable in Factory Mutual Loss Prevention Data Sheet 5-31 "Cables and Buss Bars". Recovery time to repair damaged cables is expected to be less than 3 months, as the cable trays in Building 942 are not excessive.

Wood is used at the top tier of the cable trays and is being recommended to be removed (**See Recommendation HAI-06-942-03**).

6.2 Unique Fire Hazards

The power supplies, RF amplifiers, magnet power supplies, and rectifiers/regulators for the DC power do not present any unique fire hazards. The power supplies are air, or water cooled.

6.3 Housekeeping in Vital Areas

Good housekeeping and control of combustibles was observed during this survey, except as noted for the top tier of the cable trays (**See Recommendation HAI-06-942-03**). The Collider-Accelerator department self-inspection program (Tier I) monitors routine experimental aspects. The BNL Plan Review Process screens conventional construction operations.

6.4 Building Materials

No significant amounts of exposed polystyrene insulation or other highly combustible building materials are used in the construction or operations at Building 942. Therefore, no special fire protection precautions, beyond those that are generically described in this section, are required for this facility.

6.5 Exterior Exposure Hazards

Any exterior structure, area or piece of equipment that is subject to harmful effects from, or can cause harmful effects to this facility is defined as an exterior exposure. Exterior exposures can be categorized as: elements outside of the facility, and as components of the facility.

There are no exterior fire exposures to Building 942, which is an underground tunnel.

6.6 Natural Phenomenon Hazard Exposure

Natural Hazards can be classified in five hazard categories: lightning, windstorm, wild fire, earthquake and flooding. The following is an evaluation for each category.

6.6.1 Lightning Potential

Based on NFPA standard 780 the lightning damage potential of the AGS Booster Accelerator is minimal for this mostly below ground facility. Refer to Appendix B.

6.6.2 Windstorm Potential

The Long Island area basic wind speed (3-second gust) is 120 MPH based on Factory Mutual Data Sheet 1-28 and BCNYS figure 1609.4. The ground roughness exposure category for the area is 'Exposure B.' Based on the calculations this building should have roof assemblies classified as "Class 90" rated assemblies. This does not apply to this underground facility.

6.6.3 Brush Fire Potential

Based on the criteria presented for evaluating fire potentials from Wildland in the "*BNL Wildland Fire Interface Survey Report*," dated August 2002, there is no brush fire risk potential exposure to Building 942.

Additionally, an analysis was completed consistent with the requirements and guidelines of NFPA 1144 *Protection of Life and Property from Wildfire* (2002) to determine the wildfire risk to Building 942. The risk assessment was conducted in accordance with the Wildfire Hazard Severity Form checklist of NFPA 1144. The checklist is a summary of typical desirable characteristics found in various wildfire hazards analyses. Elements include emergency response ingress and egress, type of vegetation, topography, building construction and roofing materials, available fire protection, and utilities.

Based on the analysis, the hazard from wildfire to Building 942 is "LOW" (score of 30, with 40 being the cut-off for low hazard). Specifics of the Wildfire Hazard Severity Analysis are shown in Appendix C of this report.

6.6.4 Earthquake Potential

The seismic damage potential for this facility is classified as low based on a Natural Hazards analysis produced for the BNL campus titled "*DOE Accelerator Order 5480.25 Implementation Plane for Brookhaven National Laboratory National Phenomena Hazards Evaluation*" dated April 1994. A low seismic classification means that the buildings and fire protection systems are not required to comply with seismic design standards.

6.6.5 Flooding Potential

Flood potential from bodies of water overflowing their normal levees is low for the BNL area. The flooding potential for this facility was classified as low in a Natural Hazards Analysis report produced for the BNL site, dated April 1994, titled "*DOE Accelerator Order 5480.25*

Implementation Plane for Brookhaven National Laboratory National Phenomena Hazards Evaluation.”

Groundwater runoff from a severe rainstorm could be a concern for Building 942 due to the surrounding terrain. However, further evaluation is beyond the scope of this analysis.

6.7 Toxic Fire Potential

There are no known toxic materials present in the building that present a release potential due to fire. There were no identified PCB's within the building.

6.8 Biological Fire Potential

There are no known biological materials present in the building that present a release potential due to fire.

6.9 Radiation Fire Potential

By the nature of the operations of the accelerator, various pieces of equipment can become activated. Since this is an ion accelerator, any levels are low. This activation is not expected to pose a significant environmental impact in the event of a fire since the material will not be easily disbursed. No other radioactive materials are used or stored in the Booster Accelerator.

7.0 PRE-FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLANNING

The BNL Fire Department maintains an adequate pre-fire plan book for this facility (http://intranet.bnl.gov/emergencyservices/runcards/main_i.asp). The pre-plan was reviewed as part of this analysis.

7.1 Protection of Essential Safety Class Systems

There are no essential safety class systems associated with this non-nuclear facility.

7.2 Protection of Vital Programs

The operations associated with this facility are not considered to be a DOE vital program. Therefore, no special fire protection precautions, beyond those that are described in this report, are required for this facility.

7.3 Protection of High Value Property

High value equipment is generally regarded as any single item that is valued at \$1 million or more, or where the loss of a single item could result in a loss of program continuity of greater than six months.

Based on this definition there is no high value equipment located within Building 942. The power supplies, RF amplifiers, magnet power supplies have value and somewhat unique, but do not approach the definition of high value.

The highest value class of equipment appears to be the magnets which have an approximate value in the \$100K range.

7.4 Critical Process Equipment

By DOE standards, critical process equipment is considered to be equipment which, if lost or damaged in a fire, could delay a significant component of a major program for a period in excess of 6 months.

By the above definition, the power supplies would be critical, but due to their redundancy and relative ease of replacement, do not present an unacceptable risk to the booster mission.

There are a number of specialized magnets utilized in this tunnel that if lost would impact experiments in the tunnel. It is not likely that a loss of any one of these devices would cause an outage to exceed 6-months.

7.5 Maximum Possible Fire Loss (MPFL) and Maximum Credible Fire Loss (MCFL)

The MPFL, as defined in DOE Order 420.1, is the value of property within a fire area, unless a fire hazard analysis demonstrates a lesser (or greater) loss potential, assuming the failure of both automatic fire suppression systems and manual fire fighting efforts. The fire loss estimate includes the replacement cost of equipment and property and any applicable decontamination and cleanup costs.

In accordance with the BNL Fire Safety Program, protection is required for facilities having an MPFL in excess of established thresholds as follows:

- When the MPFL exceeds \$1 million an automatic sprinkler system designed in accordance with applicable NFPA standards is required;
- When the MPFL exceeds \$25 million, a redundant fire protection system is required such that, despite the failure of the primary fire protection system, the loss will be limited to \$25 million; and
- When the MPFL exceeds \$50 million, a redundant fire protection system and a 3-hour fire resistance rated barrier are required to limit the MPFL to \$50 million.

7.5.1 MPFL Scenario

A single MPFL scenario is considered for Building 942 with a fire area of 12,197 square feet, due to a single fire area.

Building 942

The tunnel is considered one fire area and thus a single MPFL calculation is being performed. The area contains the equipment for the AGS Booster, and the amount and continuity of combustible material is low, with the exception of the wood on at the top tier of the five tier cable tray array (**See Recommendation HAI-07-942-03**).

Combustible loading throughout the building could conservatively be sufficient to potentially reach flashover conditions for heat release rates and fire duration. Flashover indicates that the temperature inside the area would be sufficiently hot to cause multiple fuel package ignitions within the space and result in loss of all contents. Associated compartment temperatures at flashover are generally accepted to be between 500°C (900°F) to 600°C (1100°F). Flashover is generally defined as the transition from a growing fire to a fully developed fire. Fully developed fires impose extensive thermal and physical stresses on fire barriers, the failure of which could lead to fire spread throughout the area. This comparison is conservative since the areas where the combustibles are located within the building represent a relatively large volume, making flashover unlikely, but possible, and only if there would be significant transient combustibles in any particular area (which would be a gross failure of the combustible loading program).

7.5.2 MPFL Calculation

The tunnel has a replacement value of approximately \$7,000,000 (\$6,965,242). The building value was obtained from 2004 replacement costs. The average dollar density of the building is the replacement value divided by the floor area of the building $\$7,000,000/12,197 \text{ ft}^2 = \$571/\text{ft}^2$.

The content and equipment value is calculated based on the following assumptions:

- An average of \$20/ft² for content and equipment value within predominantly office areas, which does not apply to Building 942.
- An average of \$100/ft² for content and equipment value within the industrial and experimental areas of the building.
- There were no available replacement costs provided for the equipment within Building 942. For the purposes of this FHA the value is assumed by the author to be approximately \$15,000,000, based on the uniqueness of the various magnets and power supplies.

MPFL Summary

Attribute	Value
Building Value*	\$7,000,000
Contents*	\$15,000,000
MPFL Total	\$22,000,000

*For this MPFL calculation, Building 942 is considered a single fire area. Continuity of combustibles is not generally present throughout the building, but considering the size of the tunnel, a very conservative 100% MPFL is appropriate and is a consistent approach. The MPFL value is within the DOE limits.

7.5.3 MCFL Scenario

The MCFL, as defined in DOE Standard 1066-99 Fire Protection Criteria, is the value of property within a fire area, unless a fire hazard analysis demonstrates a lesser (or greater) loss potential. This assumes that all installed fire protection systems function as designed, and the effect of emergency response is omitted except for post-fire actions.

The maximum credible fire scenario is one in which the fire protection systems function as designed. For the AGS Booster, it is assumed the sprinkler system functions as designed and also provides for an adequate fire department response. The sprinkler design criterion for the tunnel is based on an ordinary hazard pipe schedule method. For the purposes of the MCFL determination a design area of 1,500 ft², typical for an ordinary hazard system design, is assumed. Since properly designed and installed sprinkler systems should limit the fire growth and/or damage to the design area this floor area was used in the determination of MCFL potentials when protected by automatic sprinkler systems. Without sprinkler protection the MCFL is the same as the postulated MPFL for that area.

MCFL Summary

Attribute	Value
Building Value (1500 sq.ft. x \$571 = \$856,500)	\$425,000*
Contents	\$350,000
MCFL Total	\$775,000.00

- Reduced by 50% due to the nature of construction of this tunnel. A MCFL fire is not likely to cause significant damage to corrugated steel that is topped with earth.

7.5.4 MPFL/MCFL Summary

Fire Area	MPFL	MCFL
Building 942	\$21,965,242.00	\$775,000.00

7.6 Recovery Potential

Within the AGS Booster, critical process parts have been identified by the Department. Critical process parts are those items essential to the operations of the booster that require a long lead-time for replacement. Recovery potential is based on the ability to produce and replace electronic equipment and the various power supplies.

7.7 BNL Fire/Rescue Group

The BNL Fire/Rescue Group is a full time, paid department. Minimum staffing is five firefighters and one officer per shift. The firefighters are trained to meet Firefighter Level III by International Fire Service Training Association standard, National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Fire Fighter Level II standard, and (NFPA) Hazardous Material Technician Level and they are Suffolk County Certified Confined Space Rescuers.

The BNL Fire/Rescue Group also provides emergency medical services to an on-site population of 3200 people. A minimum of two members per shift hold New York State "Emergency Medical Technician - D" certifications ("D" is for defibrillation). Normally all five firefighters have EMT status. The Group operates a New York State Certified Basic Life Support ambulance. Medivac services are available to BNL via the Suffolk County Police Department. Additionally the Fire/Rescue Group has two 1500 GPM "Class A" Pumpers, one Rescue Vehicle for initial hazardous material incident response and heavy rescue operation, and one Incident Command Vehicle.

The single Fire Station is located on the west side of the BNL Site. Response time to the most remote section of the BNL Site is less than eight minutes. Response time to Building 942 is estimated at 5 minutes.

BNL participates in the Suffolk County Mutual Aid Agreement. This allows the resources from over 130 departments to assist BNL. BNL is also a member of the Town of Brookhaven Foam Bank. BNL has a mutual aid agreement for hazardous material incidents with the Town of Brookhaven and Stonybrook University.

7.8 Fire Apparatus Accessibility

Fire apparatus accessibility is adequate for the facility. Current parking lot configurations allow access by apparatus in the event of an emergency. Roadways are located on the north, east and south sides of the building.

7.9 Security Considerations Related to Fire Protection

The facility has limited security measures to restrict access (locked doors). Provisions have been made for Fire/Rescue access via provision of master key. The main entrances to the tunnel have a locked system that the FD can defeat for emergency access. There are door knobs/or break glass devices to permit egress in an emergency in the highly unlikely event someone is within the tunnel when it operates.

8.0 LIFE SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS

Life safety considerations for this facility include means of egress consisting of exit access, exits and exit discharge, exit signage, and emergency lighting. This building is required to comply with state building codes and NFPA 101[®], the Life Safety Code (LSC). The requirements of both the 2002 edition of the Building Code of New York State (BCNYS) and the 2006 edition of the LSC have been applied to this analysis. It should be noted that the BCNYS is

not intended to apply to existing structures. Appendix K of the BCNYS addresses alterations to existing structures. This building was likely constructed to comply with the latest version of the Life Safety Code NFPA 101 at the time of construction; 1987. DOE now requires all buildings to conform to local building codes and NFPA 101.

8.1 Occupancy Load Factor and Calculations

Occupancy load factor and calculations

The following table summarizes the occupancy load calculations based on both the BCNYS Table 1003.2.2.2 and NFPA 101 Table 7.3.1.2. An occupant load factor of 300 sq ft per person was applied to special-purpose industrial and mechanical/electrical equipment areas. Factors for these spaces are not specified in the LSC.

Table 8.1-1
Occupant Load Calculation

Location	Occupancy Load Factor (per person)		Area (feet)	Occupant Load Calculations	
	BCNYS	NFPA		BCNYS	NFPA
Building 942	100 gross	300 gross	12,197	122	41
TOTAL			12,197	122	41

The total building occupant load for code compliance purposes is 122 occupants based on the BCNYS or 41 per the NFPA 101. This occupant load well exceeds the probable actual number of occupants. The tunnel is occupied routinely throughout the day by generally only a few personnel at a time. The maximum occupancy is not expected to exceed 10 under most normal activities.

8.2 Means of Egress

The means of egress for the building meets the present code requirements for number and arrangement of exits, capacity of exits, travel distance, common path of travel, dead ends, and security considerations related to egress. The following subsections provide the egress detail for each of the elements.

8.2.1 Number and Arrangement of Exits

The LSC requires that a floor with an occupant load of 500 or fewer persons must have a minimum of two means of egress [§7.4.1.1]. Additional exits may be required for compliance with exit capacity or arrangement of exits criteria.

The tunnel has three exits leading to the exterior, at the following locations:

- Entrance, at Building 914 (at about the 2 o'clock position, with north on top)
- At the 10 o'clock position
- Through/to the AGS ring (at the 4 o'clock position)

8.2.2 Capacity of Exits

The egress capacity provided from a floor or portion thereof must be sufficient to accommodate the occupant load. The egress capacity for an egress component is based on the width of the component. For stairways, the factor of 0.3 in. of stair width per person is applied. For doors, ramps, corridors, and other level components, the factor of 0.2 in. of width per person is applied.

Street floor exits (i.e., First Floor) must be sufficient for the occupant load of the street floor plus the required capacity of stairs discharging through the street floor [LSC §40.2.3.3]. The building easily meets this criterion, based on the low number of occupants.

The available exit capacity of Building 942 easily exceeds the occupant loading based on the BCNYS (Table 1003.2.3) and NFPA 101 (Table 7.3.3.1) for stairways and other egress components in a sprinklered facility. Due to the low occupancy of the tunnel, the minimum 36 width is sufficient per Section §7.3.4.1.

8.2.3 Travel Distance

Building 942 egress paths do not exceed the BCNYS and NFPA 101 travel distance limitations. BCNYS (Table 1004.2.4) limits egress travel distance to 400 feet in this type of sprinklered F-2 occupancy. NFPA 101 (Table 40.2.6 and Section 40.2.6.3) limits egress travel distance to 400 feet in this type of sprinklered Industrial Special Purpose occupancy.

The tunnel has two points of exit, spaced equally on the ring; 656 feet divided by 2 equals 328 feet which is less than 400 feet.

8.2.4 Common Path of Travel

The building meets the common path of travel criteria found in Section 40.2.5.3 in the Life Safety Code. Since the building is protected with automatic sprinklers the allowable common path of travel of the first floor is 100 feet.

8.2.5 Dead Ends

Per Section 40.2.5.2 of the Life Safety Code, and the Fire Code of New York State (FCNYS) (Table 1010.17.2) a dead end corridor cannot exceed 50 feet. The building is in compliance with this criteria.

8.2.6 Security Considerations Related to Fire Protection

The building does not have special access controls to restrict egress or fire rescue ingress.

8.2.7 Separation of Means of Egress

Where two exits or exit access doors are required, they must be located at a distance from one another not less than one-half the length of the maximum overall diagonal dimension of the building or area served [LSC §7.5.1.3.2; BCNYS §]. The building is provided with a two primary exits that are compliant, that meet this requirement.

8.3 Exit Signs and Emergency Lighting

Exit signage is required in accordance with Section 7.10 of the LSC. Exit signs should be placed in corridors and in rooms required to have at least two means of egress. Internally-illuminated exit signs and exit placards are provided in the building.

Emergency lighting for means of egress is required in accordance with Section 7.9 of the LSC. Emergency lighting is required in industrial occupancies [§40.2.9.1] except special-purpose industrial occupancies without routine human habitation. Emergency lighting modules with battery packs are provided on a limited basis in the building.

8.4 Emergency Roof Exits

A means of escape is defined as a way out of a building or structure that does not conform to the strict definition of means of egress but does provide an alternate way out [LSC §3.3.152]. The building has no such arrangement.

8.5 Egress through Adjoining/Intervening Spaces

Exit access from rooms or spaces is permitted to be through adjoining or intervening rooms or areas, provided that such rooms or areas are accessory to the area served and the intervening rooms or areas are not spaces identified under Protection from Hazards (e.g., storage rooms) [LSC §7.5.1.6]. The building complies with this requirement, but has an egress path through the AGS tunnel that could be utilized, but is not credited as an exit in this FHA. Intervening rooms through which required egress occurs are accessory and not a higher hazard to the area served.

8.6 Exit Discharge

Exits are required to terminate directly at a public way or at an exterior exit discharge. The LSC permits a maximum of 50 percent of the required number of exits to discharge inside the building provided the level of discharge is fully-sprinklered or the area of discharge is sprinklered and separated from the remainder of the building by fire barriers [§7.7.2.2; §7.7.2.4]. The criterion does not apply to Building 942.

8.7 Horizontal Sliding Doors

Approved, existing horizontal-sliding or vertical-rolling fire doors are permitted in means of egress under the following conditions [LSC §40.2.2.2.4]:

- They are held open by fusible links.

- The fusible links are rated at not less than 165°F.
- The fusible links are located not more than 10 ft above the door.
- The fusible links are in immediate proximity to the door opening.
- The fusible links are not located above a ceiling.
- The door is not credited with providing any protection for life safety purposes (i.e., property protection only).

There are no horizontal exit doors utilized in Building 942.

8.8 Fire Escape Ladders

Fire escape ladders complying with 7.2.9 are permitted in industrial and business occupancies [§40.2.2.10; §39.2.2.10]. Fire escape ladders are permitted as means of egress only where one of the following conditions exists:

- Access to unoccupied roof spaces as permitted by 7.2.8.3.4.
- Secondary means of egress from boiler rooms or similar spaces subject to occupancy not to exceed three persons who are all capable of using the ladder.
- Means of egress from towers and elevated platforms around machinery or similar spaces subject to occupancy not to exceed three persons who are all capable of using the ladder.

Fire escape ladders are not provided in the building.

8.9 Door Heights

Means of egress are required to provide a headroom clearance of not less than 6 ft 8 in. at doorways [LSC §7.1.5.1]. The existing doors meet this requirement.

8.10 Discharge to Roofs

Exits are permitted to discharge to roofs or other sections of the building where the following criteria are met and with approval by the authority having jurisdiction [LSC §7.7.6]:

- The roof/ceiling assembly construction has a fire-resistance rating not less than that required for the exit enclosure.
- A continuous and safe means of egress from the roof is available.

There are no exits that discharge to the roof of the building.

8.11 Barriers

8.11.1 Occupancy Separations

Occupancy separations are not required for Building 942 since there is a single occupancy for the building.

8.11.2 Incidental Use Areas

Incidental use areas or hazardous areas are considered those spaces that pose a relatively higher hazard than the predominant occupancy of the area in which they are located. Such spaces are not necessarily classified as high-hazard (Group H) occupancies. Hazardous areas include general storage rooms, boiler or furnace rooms, and maintenance shops. The LSC requires hazardous areas to be separated from adjoining areas by a 1-hour fire resistance-rated barrier without windows or protected by automatic fire suppression systems [LSC §8.7.1.1]. Rooms with severe hazards such as maintenance shops with woodworking and painting are required to have both fire barrier enclosure and automatic fire suppression.

There are no such rooms associated with Building 942.

8.11.3 Separation of Means of Egress

Not applicable to Building 942.

8.11.4 Exit Access Corridors

Exit access corridor walls are typically constructed of concrete masonry and extend from the floor to the underside of the floor slab above. Fire resistance-rated corridor walls are not required in existing industrial occupancies [LSC §40.3.6].

The BCNYS requires exit access corridors serving a Group F occupancy in non- or partially-sprinklered buildings to be enclosed with 1-hour fire partitions [BCNYS Table 1004.3.2.1].

There are no exit access corridors in Building 942, thus this criterion does not apply.

8.11.5 Vertical Opening Barriers

Not applicable to Building 942.

8.11.6 Egress Stairways

Vertical openings, including stairways, are required to be enclosed with fire-resistive construction to limit fire and smoke spread to other floors.

Vertical openings must be enclosed or protected in accordance with LSC Section §8.6 unless otherwise permitted by the following [§40.3.1]:

1. Unenclosed vertical openings in accordance with 8.6.8.2 shall be permitted.

2. Exit access stairs shall be permitted to be unenclosed in two-story, single-tenant spaces that are provided with a single exit in accordance with §39.2.4.2(5).
3. Unprotected vertical openings shall be permitted in buildings complying with all of the following:
 - a. Where protected throughout by an approved automatic sprinkler system in accordance with §9.7.1.1(1);
 - b. Where no unprotected vertical opening serves as any part of any required means of egress; and
 - c. Where required exits consist of exit doors that discharge directly to grade in accordance with §7.2.1, outside stairs in accordance with §7.2.2, smokeproof enclosures in accordance with §7.2.3, or horizontal exits in accordance with §7.2.4.

The there are no egress stairways for Building 942.

8.12 Fire Protection Systems Required by Code

Automatic sprinkler protection is not required to address conditions found in the building.

8.13 Operational Requirements that are Required by Code

When performed, cutting and welding operations in the building are required to be conducted in accordance with NFPA 51B, *Standard for Fire Prevention during Welding, Cutting, and Other Hot Work*, 2003 Edition.

There are no other fire protection related operational requirements required by code.

9.0 REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

9.1 National Fire Protection Association

NFPA 10, *Standard for Portable Fire Extinguishers*, 2002 Edition

NFPA 13, *Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems*, 2002 Edition

NFPA 30, *Flammable and Combustible Liquids Code*, 2003 Edition

NFPA 51B, *Standard for Fire Prevention during Welding, Cutting, and Other Hot Work*, 2003 Edition

NFPA 70, *National Electrical Code*[®], 2005 Edition

NFPA 72[®], *National Fire Alarm Code*[®], 2002 Edition

NFPA 90A, *Standard for the Installation of Air-Conditioning and Ventilating Systems*, 2002 Edition

NFPA 101[®], *Life Safety Code*[®], 2006 Edition

NFPA 220, *Standard on Types of Building Construction*

NFPA 780, *Standard for the Installation of Lightning Protection Systems*, 2004 Edition


NFPA 1144, *Standard for Protection of Life and Property from Wildfire*, 2002 Edition

9.2 FM Global Loss Prevention Data Sheets

None.

APPENDIX A – FHA FIGURES

AGS Booster
 Accelerator



plot date: Jun 30, 2004 - 10.30.05			
JOB NO.	DATE	NO.	REVISED
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY			
UNDER CONTRACT WITH UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY PLANT ENGINEERING DIVISION UPTON, NEW YORK 11973			
Key Plans		Alternating Gradient Synchrotron (Tunnel)	
PROJECT NO.	ISSUED DRAWING BY	APPROVED BY	DATE
913	M.P.	D.A.P.	
ISSUED DATE	ISSUED DATE	DATE REVISION	ISSUED BY
02/12/03	02/12/03	02/02/05	
PLANT ENGINEERING		JOB NO.	DWG. NO.
MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT CENTER		913	913-RG
80 HILL AVENUE BUILDING 97			

Building 942

**APPENDIX B –
LIGHTNING RISK CALCULATION**

The expected lightning frequency (Nd) is **0.0** and the tolerable lightning frequency (Nc) is **0.0002**. Based on NFPA 780, If $N_d > N_c$, a lightning protection system should be installed.

Values of 0.00 were used for (A_e) because the tunnel is underground.

EXPECTED LIGHTNING STROKE FREQUENCY FROM NFPA 780 ANNEX L

$$N_d = (N_g)(A_e)(C_1)(10^{-6})$$

$N_d =$ = yearly average flash density in the region where the structure is located

$(N_g) =$ = the yearly lightning strike frequency to the structure

$(C_1) =$ = the environmental coefficient

$(A_e) =$ = the equivalent collective area of the structure in square meters from calculation below

Length (L) Feet
 Width (W) Feet
 Height (H) Feet

Figure H.4.2(a) Results sq. meters

Figure H.4.2(b) Results sq. meters

Table H.4.3 Determination of Environmental Coefficient C_1

Relative Structure Location	C_1
Structure located within a space containing structures or trees of the same height or taller within a distance of $3H$	0.25
Structure surrounded by smaller structures within a distance of $3H$	0.5
Isolated structure, no other structures located within a distance of $3H$	1
Isolated structure on a hilltop	2

Assume

Figure H.4.2(a) Calculation of the equivalent collective area for a rectangular structure.





Figure H.4.2(b) Calculation of the equivalent collective area for a structure where a prominent part encompasses all portions of the lower part of the structure.



= input required

TOLERABLE LIGHTNING FREQUENCY FROM NFPA 780 APPENDIX L

$$N_c = 0.0002$$

$$N_c = \frac{1.5 \times 10^{-3}}{C}$$

where $C = (C_2)(C_3)(C_4)(C_5)$.

Assume
1.0

C₂ — Structural Coefficients			
	Roof		
Structure	Metal	Nonmetallic	Flammable
Metal	0.5	1.0	2.0
Nonmetallic	1.0	1.0	2.5
Flammable	2.0	2.5	3.0

Assume
2.0

Structure Contents	C₃
Low value and nonflammable	0.5
Standard value and nonflammable	1.0
High value, moderate flammability	2.0
Exceptional value, flammable, computer or electronics	3.0
Exceptional value, irreplaceable cultural items	4.0

Assume
1.0

Structure Occupancy	C₄
Unoccupied	0.5
Normally Occupied	1.0
Difficult to evacuate or risk of panic	3.0

Assume
5.0

Lightning Consequence	C₅
Continuity of facility services not required, no environmental impact	1.0
Continuity of facility services required, no environmental impact	5.0
Consequences to the environment	10.0

= input required

APPENDIX C – Determination of Wildfire Hazard Severity

Using NFPA 1144

WILDLAND FIRE RISK AND HAZARD SEVERITY ASSESSMENT FORM
Appendix A, Figure A.4.2 from NFPA 1144

<u>ELEMENT</u>	<u>POINTS</u>
A. Means of Access	
1. Ingress and egress	
a. Two or more roads in/out	0√
b. One road in/out	7
2. Road width	
a. ≥ 24 ft	0
b. ≥ 20 ft and < 24 ft	2√
c. < 20 ft	4
3. All-season road condition	
a. Surfaced road, grade < 5%	0√
b. Surfaced road, grade > 5%	2
c. Non-surface road, grade < 5%	2
d. Non-surface road, grade > 5%	5
e. Other than all-season	7
4. Fire Service Access	
a. ≤ 300 ft with turnaround	0√
b. > 300 ft with turnaround	2
c. < 300 ft with no turnaround	4
d. ≥ 300 ft with no turnaround	5
5. Street Signs	
a. Present	0√
b. Not present	5
B. Vegetation (Fuel Models)	
1. Characteristics of predominate vegetation within 300 ft.	
a. Light (e.g., grasses, forbs, sawgrassess, and tundra) NFDRS Fuel Models A,C,L,N,S, and T	5
b. Medium (e.g. light brush and small trees) NFDRS Fuel Models D,E,F,H,P,Q, and U	10√
c. Heavy (e.g. dense brush, timber, and hardwoods) NFDRS Fuel Models B,G, and O	20
d. Slash (e.g. timber harvesting residue) NFDRS Fuel Models J,K, and L	25
2. Defensible space	
a. More than 100 ft of vegetation treatment from the structures	1
b. 71 ft to 100 ft of vegetation treatment from the structures	3
c. 30 ft to 70 ft of vegetation treatment from the structures	10√
d. < 30 ft of vegetation treatment from the structures	25

C. Topography Within 300 of Structures

- | | |
|----------------------|----|
| 1. Slope < 9% | 1√ |
| 2. Slope 10% to 20 % | 4 |
| 3. Slope 21% to 30% | 7 |
| 4. Slope 31% to 40% | 8 |
| 5. Slope > 41% | 10 |

D. Additional Rating Factors

- | | |
|--|----------|
| 1. Topographical features that adversely affect wildland fire behavior | 0-5 [0√] |
| 2. Areas with a history of higher fire occurrence than surrounding areas due to special situations | 0-5 [0√] |
| 3. Areas that are periodically exposed to unusually severe fire weather and strong dry winds. | 0-5 [0√] |
| 4. Separation of adjacent structures that can contribute to fire spread | 0-5 [0√] |

E. Roofing Assembly

- | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Class A roof | 0√ |
| | (underground structure) |
| 2. Class B roof | 3 |
| 3. Class C roof | 15 |
| 4. Nonrated | 25 |

F. Building Construction

- | | |
|--|----|
| 1. Materials | |
| a. Noncombustible/fire-resistive siding, eaves, and deck | 0√ |
| b. Noncombustible/fire-resistive siding and combustible deck | 5 |
| c. Combustible siding and deck | 10 |
| 2. Building setback relative to slopes of 30% or more | |
| a. \geq 30 ft to slope | 1√ |
| b. < 30 ft to slope | 5 |

G. Available Fire Protection

- | | |
|---|----|
| 1. Water source availability | |
| a. Pressurized water source availability | |
| 500 gpm hydrants \leq 1000ft apart | 0√ |
| 250 gpm hydrants \leq 1000ft apart | 1 |
| b. Nonpressurized water source availability | |
| \geq 250 gpm continuous for 2 hours | 3 |
| < 250 gpm continuous for 2 hours | 5 |
| c. Water unavailable | 10 |
| 2. Organized response resources | |
| a. Station \leq 5 miles from structure | 1√ |

b. Station > 5 miles from structure	3
3. Fixed fire protection	
a. NFPA 13	0
b. None	5√
H. Placement of Gas and Electric Utilities	
1. Both underground	0 √
2. One underground, one aboveground	3
3. Both aboveground	5
I. Total	30

Hazard Assessment	Total Points
Low hazard	< 40
Moderate hazard	40-69
High hazard	70-112
Extreme hazard	> 112

A Wildfire Severity Level of 30 = A **LOW** Hazard